

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9           WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10           AT TACOMA

11           SUZANNE CAREY,

12           Plaintiff,

13           v.

14           EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY  
15           COMMISSION (EEOC)– SEATTLE FIELD  
16           OFFICE; VALERIE JOHNSON, RODERICK  
17           USTANIK,

18           Defendants.

Case No. C05-5720FDB

ORDER DENYING RELIEF FROM  
JUDGMENT and DENYING  
MOTION FOR TWO MINUTE  
ORDERS

17           Plaintiff moves for relief from Judgment in this case. The Court finds no reason for such  
18 relief, and the motion will be denied.

19           Plaintiff also moves for two minute orders, evidently referring to the initial orders the Court  
20 enters when a new case is filed in order to set trial and pretrial dates, among other things. This case,  
21 however, is closed, and Plaintiff's motion is inappropriate.

22           ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED:

23           1. Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment [Dkt. # 36] is DENIED;  
24           2. Plaintiff's Motion for Two Minute Orders [Dkt. # 39] is DENIED;  
25           3.. Plaintiff's Miscellaneous Motion [Dkt. # 35] to put document # 25 back on the PACER

26 ORDER - 1

1 website is STRICKEN as Plaintiff may inquire of the Clerk's office if there is a problem with the  
2 PACER system.

3           4. Plaintiff is ORDERED to file no further documents in this closed case, and failure to abide  
4 by this Order may result in monetary sanctions against Plaintiff.

5 DATED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2006.

6             
7           FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
8           UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORDER - 2